### 8319. Adulteration of bread. U. S. \* \* \* v. Harry E. Robberts. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 571-c.)

On July 29, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry E. Robberts, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 29, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, one loaf of bread which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On July 29, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 8320. Adulteration of grapefruit. U. S. \* \* \* v. Christ Kalsuritinis. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 572-c.)

On July 29, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Christ Kalsuritinis, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 17, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of grapefruit which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On July 29, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

# 8321. Adulteration of ground meat. U. S. \* \* v. Frank Kidwell. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 573-c.)

On July 29, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Frank Kidwell, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 14, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of ground meat which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On July 29, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 8322. Adulteration of pork meat. U. S. \* \* \* v. Harry Sherby (Sherby's Market). Collateral of \$100 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 574-c.)

On August 2, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry Sherby, trading as Sherby's Market, at Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 27, 1920, the

said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of pork meat which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On August 2, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$100 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 8323. Adulteration of grapefruit. U. S. \* \* \* v. Mustafa Ebbess. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 575-c.)

On August 9, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Mustafa Ebbess, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 31, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of grapefruit which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On August 9, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 8324. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. Jeremah E. Donovan, Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 576-c.)

On August 25, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Jeremah E. Donovan, Fairfax, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on August 13, 1920, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On August 25, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

### 8325. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. George Chipouras. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 578-c.)

On September 8, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against George Chipouras, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 23, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.